

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/635,808	08/05/2003	Jean Rapin	10945.105004	8829
20786 7590 . 12/14/2005			EXAMINER	
KING & SPALDING LLP			CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M	
191 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. 45TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ATLANTA, GA 30303-1763		1654	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> -                                     </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A. A. C.		10/635,808	RAPIN ET AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marcela M. Cordero Garcia	1654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,6 and 7 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3,6 and 7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accerding a specific and a specif	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No  In this National Stage			
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2)  Notic 3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,808 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 16, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3, 6, and 7 are pending in the application.

Any rejection from the previous office action, which is not restated here, is withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3, 6 and 7 are presented for examination on the merits.

For the art rejection below, please note that electroconvulsive shock reads upon "traumatic impact", see, e.g., <a href="http://www.answers.com/shock">http://www.answers.com/shock</a>, definition of "shock", accessed online 12/08/2005. (See also cited definitions for "trauma" and "impact").

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vandai (US 5,212,158) in view of Hock et al. (US 5,043,346).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,808

Art Unit: 1654

Vandai beneficially teaches a method for the treatment of amnesia comprising administering an effective amount of a compound of Formula I (e.g., cinnamoyl-glycyl-L-phenylalanyl-L-prolinamide). [See, e.g., abstract, claims, column 16, lines 13-15 and example 9]. Please note that stimulating nerve growth is a functional effect of such administration, which does not distinguish the instant method from the method of Vandai reciting identical steps.

Vandai does not expressly teach the treatment of amnesia due to cerebral infarction or traumatic impact characterized by nerve cell necrosis, but it does teach the treatment of amnesia due to absorption of chemical substances (e.g., scopolamine), and that the compounds used within such treatment (e.g., cinnamoyl-glycyl-L-phenylalanyl-L-prolinamide) have nootropic activity.

Hock et al. beneficially teach that a substance is designated as having nootropic activity when it is able to abolish amnesia produced in the experimental animals by means of an electroconvulsive shock or the amnesia induced by scopolamine (column 9, lines 12-16). Please note that "characterized by cell necrosis" is a functional effect associated to such events.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust particular conventional working conditions within such method of treating amnesia administering an effective amount of a compound of Formula I (e.g., expressly treating amnesia due to electroconvulsive shock instead of treating amnesia due to absorption of, e.g., scopolamine) based upon the overall beneficial teachings provided by Vandai and because it was known in the art (see Hock

Application/Control Number: 10/635,808

Art Unit: 1654

et al., column 9, lines 12-16) that nootropic compounds could abolish amnesia produced by electroconvulsive shock (i.e., traumatic impact). These types of adjustments are deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization that is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Thus, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious over the reference, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

## Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcela M. Cordero Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marcela M Cordero Garcia, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654

MMCG 12/05

**CHRISTOPHER R. TATE**PRIMARY EXAMINER